

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

WILLIAM A. DAVIS)	3:11-cv-00501-RCJ-WGC
)	
Plaintiff,)	<u>MINUTES OF THE COURT</u>
)	
vs.)	August 14, 2012
)	
)	
DR. KAREN GEDNEY, et. al.,)	
Defendants.)	
_____)	

PRESENT: THE HONORABLE WILLIAM G. COBB, U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE

DEPUTY CLERK: KATIE LYNN OGDEN REPORTER: NONE APPEARING

COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF(S): NONE APPEARING

COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT(S): NONE APPEARING

MINUTE ORDER IN CHAMBERS:

Before the court is Plaintiff William Davis' "Motion to Compel - Defendant to Answer Good Faith Letter." (Doc. # 25). Although styled as a "motion," the substance of plaintiff's filing is that he sent defendants' counsel a "good faith letter requesting the defendants" to answer certain "questions" which were appended to plaintiff's "motion" (*id.*, at 4). He gave defendants a deadline of July 6 to respond and as of the date of the filing of the "motion," it appears that plaintiff had received no response.

The "motion" plaintiff filed satisfies none of the LR 26-7 criteria for filing a discovery motion. As such, the court declines to treat plaintiff's filing as a discovery motion. To the extent, however, plaintiff's filing constitutes a "motion," Doc. # 25 is **DENIED as moot**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

LANCE S. WILSON, CLERK

By: /s/
Katie Lynn Ogden, Deputy Clerk